Tulsi Gabbard Declassifies Email Showing James Clapper Pushed Russia Narrative

By Cole Harrison



For years, Americans who questioned the Russia collusion narrative were dismissed as conspiracy theorists. They were told to trust the intelligence community. They were told our most powerful agencies operated above politics. Those who dared suggest otherwise? They faced ridicule from media know-it-alls and Washington insiders.

Let me tell you what's really going on here. These liars always leave a paper trail. And now, thanks to newly declassified documents, we're seeing exactly how the sausage was made in those final, desperate weeks of the Obama administration. The revelations confirm what millions of Americans suspected all along. Our intelligence agencies were turned into political weapons.

From Daily Wire:

Director of National Intelligence (DNI) Tulsi Gabbard published an email on Wednesday that raised a number of questions about former DNI James Clapper and how hard he pushed other members of the Intelligence Community (IC) to rally behind the Russia Hoax.

Gabbard shared a screenshot of the email, which was sent by Clapper in late December of 2016 to then FBI Director James Comey, then CIA Director John Brennan, and National Security Agency (NSA) Director Michael Rogers.

The Email That Changes Everything

This wasn't some casual office memo. This was Clapper responding to serious concerns raised by NSA Director Mike Rogers. Rogers warned that his team hadn't had enough access to the real intelligence. They didn't have enough time to review it properly. Rogers was showing the kind of integrity we should expect from our intelligence leaders. He wrote that his team had raised red flags about the rushed assessment process.

Rogers was crystal clear. He said: "I'm concerned that my team aren't fully comfortable saying they've had enough time to review all of the intelligence." He wanted them to be "absolutely confident in their assessments." He emphasized they had "one chance to get this right" before presenting findings to the President. You know what Clapper did? He basically told him to shut up and play ball.

When Intelligence Becomes Politics

Here's what kills me. Clapper's response revealed everything wrong with Washington's swamp culture. Instead of addressing Rogers' legitimate concerns, he dismissed them entirely. "More time is not negotiable," Clapper declared. Can you believe that? Even more damning, he admitted they might need to "compromise on our 'normal' modalities" due to the compressed schedule.

Think about that for a second. The man overseeing America's intelligence apparatus openly admitted in writing that standard procedures might need to be compromised. Why? Because as Clapper put it, "This is one project that has to be a team sport." You believe this? Not an intelligence assessment. Not a

professional analysis. A team sport. Like they're playing basketball instead of protecting national security.

Following Orders From the Top

The timing tells you everything you need to know. These emails came just thirteen days after Obama held a December 9 meeting. He demanded a report on Russian election interference. Here's the kicker — the previous intelligence assessment had found something different. It said "Russian and criminal actors did not impact recent US election results." That conclusion apparently wasn't what Obama wanted to hear.

So what did they do? They cooked up a new one. In the span of weeks, with intelligence professionals raising alarms, the narrative got rewritten. All of this happened in Obama's final days. Right before Trump would take office. Talk about leaving a poison pill for the incoming administration.

Gabbard isn't pulling punches. She stated that Clapper's email "reinforces what we already exposed." She added that "the decision to compromise standards and violate protocols" was deliberate. It "came from the very top." I'm not making this up — these are her exact words about Obama's role in this mess.

This is vindication for every American who smelled something rotten in the Russia narrative. You know what this means? It's proof that the deep state doesn't work for truth or national security. They work for political power. The same people who lectured us about "defending democracy" were actively undermining it from within. And they wonder why we don't trust them?